

## 8 Regional forests

A number of sites has been selected in the COST Action PROFOUND for which a wide range of forest models can be rather easily initialized. To get access to this PROFOUND Database, please contact [reyer@pik-potsdam.de](mailto:reyer@pik-potsdam.de).

- 5 1) **Management:** The modeling experiments mostly encompass managed forests. The standard management (“histsoc”) during the historical period is the observed management as defined by the data available for each site (e.g. reduction in stem numbers) and, after the observations end, missing management information is to be substituted with generic future management guidelines from Table 16-Table 18. This future management (2005soc) corresponds best to “intensive even-aged forestry” as defined by Duncker et al. 2012. After harvesting the stands (c.f. Table 16 and Table 17), please proceed after harvest as your model usually does, e.g. plant the same tree species again or allow for regeneration of the same species according to the regeneration guidelines outlined in Table 18. A “natural reference run (nosoc)” without any management will help assessing the influence of forest management.  
10
- 2) **Calibration:** Some of the models may require some kind of calibration or model development before they can contribute to ISIMIP. Such alterations of the model can influence the results of a model comparison and “model calibration” is understood differently by different modelers. All alterations to the model in the framework of this exercise should be reported in the model experiment documentation provided together with the upload of the simulations. Whenever the model calibration or development is driven by an improvement of the model after a comparison to data that were originally made available in ISIMIP for model evaluation, a part of those data should be kept aside for model evaluation and not used for calibration.  
15
  - a. Model development needed to run a model at specific sites is welcomed and needs to be transparent/ properly documented (e.g. adjustment of phenology model to include chilling effects). This is also applicable for more general calibration (i.e. fixing parameters once but not changing afterwards) for example to include a new tree species in a model.
  - 20 b. Manual or automatic site-specific “tuning” of species-specific and process-specific parameters should be avoided. The same “model” (i.e. also with the same parameter values) should be used in all simulations. If needed, any tuning needs to be documented in a transparent way and should be backed up by existing data (e.g. from TRY-database). If your model contains genetic processes where the change in parameters is part of the model processes, this is naturally part of “your model approach” and should be clearly spelled out as part of the documentation of your model. In this specific case, please contact the sectoral coordinators to discuss if it makes sense to include a “genetic adaptation” and a “parameter-fixed, control” run.  
25
- 3) **Reporting Period:** Each phase of ISIMIP has its own reporting period but you should always start your reporting period for the first time step for which stand data is available (e.g. 1948 for the Peitz stand) and run your model until the last point in time where climate data is available.

## 8.1 Scenarios

Climate scenarios	
<b>picontrol</b>	Pre-industrial climate and 286ppm CO <sub>2</sub> concentration. The climate data for the entire period (1661-2299) are unique – no (or little) recycling of data has taken place. The regional forest simulation should start at the first point in time for which initialisation data is available ( <b>Table 17</b> ).
<b>historical</b>	Historical climate and CO <sub>2</sub> concentration.
<b>rcp26</b>	Future climate and CO <sub>2</sub> concentration from RCP2.6.
<b>rcp60</b>	Future climate and CO <sub>2</sub> concentration from RCP6.0.
<b>2005co2</b>	CO <sub>2</sub> concentration fixed at 2005 levels at 378.81ppm.
Human influences scenarios	
<b>histsoc</b>	Manage forests according to historical management guidelines without species change and keeping the same rotation length and thinning types. (see <b>Table 17</b> )
<b>2005soc</b>	Manage future forests according to present-day generic management guidelines without species change and keeping the same rotation length and thinning types (see <b>Table 18-Table 20</b> ).
<b>rcp26soc</b>	Future forests are assumed to be managed by changing the tree species and the forest management towards maximizing mitigation benefits. Depending on the region and forest stand, this could mean focusing on species and management measures to maximize (1) the production of wood for bioenergy (highly productive species, short rotations), (2) in situ carbon stocks, or (3) production of harvested wood products with a long lifetime.
<b>rcp60soc</b>	Future forest are assumed to require adaptive management such as “assisted migration” where present-day forests are managed according to current practices until final harvest and then replaced by tree species that would be the natural vegetation under the projected climate change according to Hanewinkel et al. (2012).
<b>2100rcp26soc</b>	This scenario means managing future forests according to rcp26soc guidelines.
<b>nosoc</b>	No forest management (but nitrogen deposition should be included). If your model includes natural regeneration, please only regeneration those species previously present on the plot.



**Table 16:** ISIMIP2b scenarios for the regional forest simulations.

Experiment		Input	Pre-industrial 1661-1860	Historical 1861-2005	Future 2006-2100	Extended future 2101-2299
<b>I</b>	no climate change, pre-industrial CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	<b>picontrol</b>	<b>picontrol</b>	<b>picontrol</b>
	varying LU & human influences up to 2005, fixed present-day management afterwards	Human & LU		<b>histsoc</b>	<b>2005soc</b>	<b>2005soc</b>
<b>II</b>	RCP2.6 climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	<b>historical</b>	<b>rcp26</b>	<b>rcp26</b>
	varying LU & human influences up to 2005, fixed present-day management afterwards	Human & LU		<b>histsoc</b>	<b>2005soc</b>	<b>2005soc</b>
<b>Ila</b>	RCP2.6 climate, CO <sub>2</sub> fixed after 2005	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	Experiment II	<b>rcp26, 2005co2</b>	<b>rcp26, 2005co2</b>
	fixed present-day management after 2005	Human & LU			<b>2005soc</b>	<b>2005soc</b>
<b>III</b>	RCP6.0 climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	Experiment II	<b>rcp60</b>	not simulated
	fixed present-day management after 2005	Human & LU			<b>2005soc</b>	
<b>IV</b>	no climate change, pre-industrial CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	Experiment I	<b>picontrol</b>	<b>picontrol</b>
	varying management (forest management for mitigation)	Human & LU			<b>rcp26soc</b>	<b>2100rcp26soc</b>
<b>V</b>	no climate change, pre-industrial CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	Experiment I	<b>picontrol</b>	
	varying management (forest management for adaptation)	Human & LU			<b>rcp60soc</b>	

<b>VI</b>	RCP2.6 climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	Experiment II	rcp26	rcp26
	varying management (forest management for mitigation)	Human & LU			rcp26soc	2100rcp26soc
<b>VII</b>	RCP6.0 climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	Experiment II	rcp60	
	varying management (forest management for adaptation)	Human & LU			rcp60soc	

The regional forest simulations as described above are carried out once using the ISIMIP2b climate of the grid cell in which the forest sites are located and once using locally bias-adjusted data based on locally observed meteorological data.

**Table 17:** Additional sector-specific simulations for the regional forest sector.

<b>Experiment</b>		<b>Input</b>	<b>Pre-industrial 1661-1860</b>	<b>Historical 1861-2005</b>	<b>Future 2006-2099</b>	<b>Extended future 2100-2299</b>
<b>Ia</b>	no climate change, pre-industrial CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	picontrol	picontrol	picontrol
	No forest management	Human & LU		nosoc	nosoc	nosoc
<b>Iib</b>	RCP2.6 climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	historical	rcp26	rcp26
	No forest management	Human & LU		nosoc	nosoc	nosoc
<b>Iic</b>	RCP2.6 climate, CO <sub>2</sub> fixed after 2005	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	Experiment II	rcp26, 2005co2	rcp26, 2005co2
	No forest management	Human & LU			nosoc	nosoc
<b>IIia</b>	RCP6.0 climate, CO <sub>2</sub> after 2005 fixed at 2005 levels	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	Experiment I	Experiment II	rcp60, 2005co2	not simulated

	LU & human influences fixed at 1860 levels	Human & LU			2005soc	
<b>IIIb</b>	RCP6.0 climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	Experiment II	rcp60	not simulated
	No forest management	Human & LU			nosoc	
<b>IVa</b>	no climate change, pre-industrial CO <sub>2</sub>	Climate & CO <sub>2</sub>	not simulated	Experiment I	picontrol	picontrol
	varying management (forest management for mitigation)	Human & LU			nosoc	nosoc

5 **Table 18** Generic future management scenarios for the different tree species. For past simulations and depending on the model, modellers should use the observed stem numbers from the time series of stand and tree level data to mimick stand management. Future management should then be added according to the generic management guidelines outlined below. E.g., The last management for the Peitz site can be inferred from the tree data is taking place in 2011, hence the next management would then happen in 2026 according to **Table 17**.

Species	Thinning regime	Intensity [% of basal area]	Interval [yr]	Stand age for final harvest	Remarks
pisy	below	20	15	140	Pukkala et al. 1998; Fuerstenau et al. 2007; Gonzales et al-2005; Lasch et al. 2005
piab	below	30	15	120	Pape 2008; Pukkala et al. 1998; Hanewinkel and Pretzsch-2000; Sterba 1986; Laehde et al. 2010
fasy	above	30	15	140	Schuetz 2006; Mund et al. 2004; Hein and Dhote 2006; Cescatti and Piutti 1998
quro/qupe	above	15	15	200	Hein and Dhote 2006; Fuerstenau et al. 2007; Štefančík 2012; Kerr 1996; Gutsch et al. 2011
pipi	below	20	10	45	Management after Loustau et al. 2005 & Thivolle-Cazat et al. 2013

**Table 19** Management schedules for the sites included in the simulation experiments. The first available data point is used for model initialization (Ini). Following data points are used to mimick historic management (HM). When no more observed data is available, the generic management rules from **Table 16** are being used (FM). harvest and planting are marked in bold. **Note that depending on how models represent the planting/regeneration**

information in Table 20, the overall stand- age maybe slightly higher than in Table 18 (e.g. seedlings planted with an age of 2 in 2033 will be harvested at an age of 142 after 140 years of rotation in 2173).

Name	Ini	HM	FM1	FM2	FM3	FM4	FM5	FM6	FM7	FM8	FMX	FMX	FMX	FMX	FMX	Remarks
<b>bily-kriz</b>	1997	1998-2015 <sup>T</sup>	2030 <sup>T</sup>	2045 <sup>T</sup>	2060 <sup>T</sup>	2075 <sup>T</sup>	2090 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2101<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2102<sup>P</sup></b>	2117 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2222<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2223<sup>P</sup></b>	2238 <sup>T</sup>	...	
<b>collelongo</b>	1992	1997-2012 <sup>T</sup>	2027 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2032<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2033<sup>P</sup></b>	2048 <sup>T</sup>	2063 <sup>T</sup>	2078 <sup>T</sup>	2093 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2173<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2174<sup>P</sup></b>	2189 <sup>T</sup>	...	...	
<b>hyytiala*</b>	1995	1996-2011 <sup>T</sup>	2026 <sup>T</sup>	2041 <sup>T</sup>	2056 <sup>T</sup>	2071 <sup>T</sup>	2086 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2101<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2102<sup>P</sup></b>	2117 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2242<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2243<sup>P</sup></b>	2258 <sup>T</sup>	...	***
<b>kroof*</b>	1997	1999-2010 <sup>T</sup>	2025 <sup>T</sup>	2040 <sup>T</sup>	2055 <sup>T</sup>	2070 <sup>T</sup>	2085 <sup>T</sup>	2100 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2101<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2102<sup>P</sup></b>	2117 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2222<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2223<sup>P</sup></b>	...	****
<b>le-bray</b>	1986	1987-2009 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2015<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2016<sup>P</sup></b>	2026 <sup>T</sup>	2036 <sup>T</sup>	2046 <sup>T</sup>	2056 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2061<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2062<sup>P</sup></b>	2072 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2107<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2108<sup>P</sup></b>	2118 <sup>T</sup>	
<b>peitz</b>	1948**	1952-2011 <sup>T</sup>	2026 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2040<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2041<sup>P</sup></b>	2056 <sup>T</sup>	2071 <sup>T</sup>	2086 <sup>T</sup>	2101 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2181<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2182<sup>P</sup></b>	2197 <sup>T</sup>	...	...	
<b>solling-beech*</b>	1967	1968-2014 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2015<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2016<sup>P</sup></b>	2031 <sup>T</sup>	2046 <sup>T</sup>	2061 <sup>T</sup>	2076 <sup>T</sup>	2091 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2156<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2157<sup>P</sup></b>	2172 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2297<sup>H</sup></b>	
<b>solling-spruce*</b>	1967	1968-2014 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2024<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2025<sup>P</sup></b>	2040 <sup>T</sup>	2055 <sup>T</sup>	2070 <sup>T</sup>	2085 <sup>T</sup>	2100 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2145<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2146<sup>P</sup></b>	2161 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2266<sup>H</sup></b>	
<b>soro</b>	1944**	1945-2005 <sup>T</sup>	2020 <sup>T</sup>	2035 <sup>T</sup>	2050 <sup>T</sup>	<b>2061<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2062<sup>P</sup></b>	2077 <sup>T</sup>	2092 <sup>T</sup>	...	<b>2202<sup>H</sup></b>	<b>2203<sup>P</sup></b>	2218 <sup>T</sup>	...	...	

Ini = Initialization data, HM = Historic Management, FM = Future Management, T=Thinning, H= Harvest, P=Planting, \*=maximum age extended a bit to match local management during observed period or avoid harvesting just before the end of the simulation, \*\*= the GCM data only starts in 1950, hence for future runs (Experiment 2a), you have to initialize these forests at the first time step after 1949 (i.e. 1952 for Peitz and 1950 for Soro). For the historical validation runs (Experiment 1a) you can start with the first available stand initialization.\*\*\*= Only simulate pine and spruce (no hard-woods) **and regenerate as pure pine stand.** \*\*\*\*= **Harvest all species at the same time (i.e. 120 years).**

**Table 20** Planting information for the sites included in the simulation experiments. DBH is defined as diameter at breast height of 1.30m. The numbers in bracket indicate plausible ranges.

Name	Density ha <sup>-1</sup>	Age year s	Height m	DBH cm	age when DBH is reached years	Remarks
<b>Bily-Kriz</b>	4500	4	0.5	na	9	Historical planting density was 5000/ha but current practices are 4500/ha only
<b>Collelongo</b>	10000	4	1.3	0.1	4	Only a rough approximation, usually natural regeneration is the regeneration method.
<b>Hyttiala</b>	2250 (2000-2500)	2	0.25 (0.2-0.3)	na	6 (5-7)	
<b>KROOF (beech)</b>	6000 (5000-7000)	2	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	0.5	5	The planting density is for single-species stands, hence when regenerating the 2-species-stand KROOF, the planting density of each species should be halved
<b>KROOF (spruce)</b>	2250 (2000-2500)	2	0.35 (0.3-0.4)	0.5	7	See above
<b>Le-Bray</b>	1250 (1000-14000)	1	0.2 (0.1-0.25)	na	3 (2-5)	These are the current practices (De Lary, 2015) and should be used for future regeneration. Historically, the site was seeded with 3000-5000 seedlings per ha and then cleared once or twice to reach a density of 1250/ha at 7-year old when seedlings reach the size for DBH recruitment. → modelers could mimic this by "planting" trees with DBH of 7.5cm and 6m height in 1978 with a density of 1250 trees/ha
<b>Peitz</b>	9000 (8000-10000)	2	0.175 (0.1-0.25)	0.1	5	The "age when DBH is reached = 5" is an estimate
<b>Solling-beech</b>	6000 (5000-7000)	2	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	0.5	5	
<b>Solling-spruce</b>	2250 (2000-2500)	2	0.35 (0.3-0.4)	0.5	7	
<b>Soro</b>	6000	4	0.82	na	6	

## 8.2 Output data

**Table 21** Variables to be reported by forest models.

Long name	units		output variable name	resolution	comment
<b>Essential outputs</b>					
Mean DBH	cm	per species and stand total	<b>dbh-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	
Mean DBH of 100 highest trees	cm	stand total	<b>dbh-domhei</b>	annual	100 highest trees per hectare.
Stand Height	m	per species and stand total	<b>height-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	For models including natural regeneration this variable may not make sense, please report dom_height
Dominant Height	m	stand total	<b>dom-height</b>	annual	Mean height of the 100 highest trees per hectare.
Stand Density	ha <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>density-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	As trees per hectare
Basal Area	m <sup>2</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>ba-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	
Volume of Dead Trees	m <sup>3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>mort-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	
Harvest by dbh-class	m <sup>3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total and dbh-class	<b>harv-&lt;species/total&gt;-&lt;dbhclass/total&gt;</b>	annual	
Remaining stem number after disturbance and management by dbh class	ha <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>stemno-&lt;species/total&gt;-&lt;dbhclass/total&gt;</b>	annual	As trees per hectare, dbhclass_name as specific in <b>Table 20</b> .

Stand Volume	$\text{m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	per species and stand total	<b>vol-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	
Carbon Mass in Vegetation biomass (incl. Soil veg.?)	$\text{kg m}^{-2}$	per species and stand total	<b>cveg-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	As $\text{kg carbon} * \text{m}^{-2}$
Carbon Mass in Litter Pool	$\text{kg m}^{-2}$	per species and stand total	<b>clitter-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	As $\text{kg carbon} * \text{m}^{-2}$ , Info for each individual pool.
Carbon Mass in Soil Pool	$\text{kg m}^{-2}$	per species and stand total	<b>csoil-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	As $\text{kg carbon} * \text{m}^{-2}$ , Info for each individual soil layer
Tree age by dbh class	yr	per species and stand total	<b>age-&lt;species/total&gt;-&lt;dbhclass/total&gt;</b>	annual	dbhclass_name as specified in <b>Table 20.</b>
Gross Primary Production	$\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	per species and stand total	<b>gpp-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	daily	As $\text{kg carbon} * \text{m}^{-2} * \text{s}^{-1}$
Net Primary Production	$\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	per species and stand total	<b>npp-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	daily	As $\text{kg carbon} * \text{m}^{-2} * \text{s}^{-1}$
Autotrophic (Plant) Respiration	$\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	per species and stand total	<b>ra-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	daily	As $\text{kg carbon} * \text{m}^{-2} * \text{s}^{-1}$
Heterotrophic Respiration	$\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	stand total	<b>rh-&lt; total&gt;</b>	daily	As $\text{kg carbon} * \text{m}^{-2} * \text{s}^{-1}$
Net Ecosystem Exchange	$\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	per stand	<b>nee-&lt;total&gt;</b>	daily	As $\text{kg carbon} * \text{m}^{-2} * \text{s}^{-1}$
Mean Annual Increment	$\text{m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$	per species and stand total	<b>mai-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	
Fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation	%	per species and stand total	<b>fapar-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	daily	Value between 0 and 100.

Leaf Area Index	$\text{m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$	per species and stand total	<b>lai-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	monthly	
Species composition	%	per ha	<b>species-&lt;species&gt;</b>	annual (or once if static)	As % of basal area; the categories may differ from model to model, depending on their species and stand definitions.
Total Evapotranspiration	$\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	stand total	<b>evap-&lt; total&gt;</b>	daily	sum of transpiration, evaporation, interception and sublimation. (=intercept + esoil + trans)
Evaporation from Canopy (interception)	$\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	per species and stand total	<b>intercept-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	daily	the canopy evaporation+ sublimation (if present in model).
Water Evaporation from Soil	$\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	per stand	<b>esoil</b>	daily	includes sublimation.
Transpiration	$\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$	per species and stand total	<b>trans-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	daily	
Soil Moisture	$\text{kg m}^{-2}$	per stand	<b>soilmoist</b>	daily	If possible, please provide soil moisture for all depth layers (i.e. 3D-field), and indicate depth in m. Otherwise, provide soil moisture of entire column.

**Optional outputs**

Removed stem numbers by size class by natural mortality	ha <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>mortstemno-&lt;species/total&gt;-&lt;dbhclass/total&gt;</b>	annual	As trees per hectare, dbhclass_name as specific in <b>Table 20.</b>
Removed stem numbers by size class by management	ha <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>harvstemno-&lt;species/total&gt;-&lt;dbhclass/total&gt;</b>	annual	As trees per hectare, dbhclass_name as specific in <b>Table 20.</b>
Volume of disturbance damage	m <sup>3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>dist-&lt;dist-name&gt;</b>	annual	dist_name as specific in <b>Table 20.</b>
Nitrogen of annual Litter	g m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>nlit-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	annual	As g Nitrogen m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>
Nitrogen in Soil	g m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	stand total	<b>nsoil-&lt;total&gt;</b>	annual	As g Nitrogen m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>
Net Primary Production allocated to leaf biomass	kg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>npp-landleaf-&lt;species&gt;</b>	daily	As kg carbon*m <sup>-2</sup> *s <sup>-1</sup>
Net Primary Production allocated to fine root biomass	kg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>npp-landroot-&lt;species&gt;</b>	daily	As kg carbon*m <sup>-2</sup> *s <sup>-1</sup>
Net Primary Production allocated to above ground wood biomass	kg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>npp-abovegroundwood-&lt;species&gt;</b>	daily	As kg carbon*m <sup>-2</sup> *s <sup>-1</sup>
Net Primary Production allocated to below ground wood biomass	kg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>npp-belowgroundwood-&lt;species&gt;</b>	daily	As kg carbon*m <sup>-2</sup> *s <sup>-1</sup>
Root autotrophic respiration	kg m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>rr-&lt;species/total&gt;</b>	daily	As kg carbon*m <sup>-2</sup> *s <sup>-1</sup>

Carbon Mass in Leaves	kg m <sup>-2</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>cleaf-&lt;species&gt;</b>	annual	
Carbon Mass in Wood	kg m <sup>-2</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>cwood-&lt;species&gt;</b>	annual	including sapwood and hardwood
Carbon Mass in Roots	kg m <sup>-2</sup>	per species and stand total	<b>croot-&lt;species&gt;</b>	annual	including fine and coarse roots
Temperature of Soil	K	per stand	<b>tsl</b>	daily	Temperature of each soil layer

**Table 22** Codes for species, disturbance names and dbh classes as used in protocol (species, dist-name, dbhclass).

Long name	Short name
Fagus sylvatica	fasy
Quercus robur	quro
Quercus petraea	qupe
Pinus sylvestris	pisy
Picea abies	piab
Pinus pinaster	pipi
Larix decidua	lade
Acer platanoides	acpl
Eucalyptus globulus	eugl
Betula pendula	bepe
Betula pubescens	bepu
Robinia pseudoacacia	rops
Fraxinus excelsior	frex
Populus nigra	poni
Sorbus aucuparia	soau
hard woods	hawo
fire	fi
wind	wi
insects	ins
drought	dr
grazing	graz
diseases	dis

DBH-class_<X>-<X+5>*	dbh-c<X>
DBH-class_>140*	dbh-c140

5

10

15

20

\*the boundaries of the dbh classes should interpreted as follows: dbh-class-0-5 = 0 to<5 cm; dbh-class-5-10 =5 to<10 cm, etc....  
the dbh class dbh-c140 includes all trees of 140cm dbh and larger.