

12 Marine Ecosystems & Fisheries

12.1 Experiments

Table 29: Summary of historical runs for global and regional marine ecosystem & fisheries models. Priority should be given to the fishing scenario (time-varying fishing effort). Any other impacts not mentioned here should be held constant at year-2000 levels.

Climate data GCM	Scenario	Fishing effort	Ocean acidification	# runs
GFDL ESM2 (re-analysis)	hist	fishing (time-varying effort/mortality) no-fishing (zero effort/mortality)	time-varying pH	2

12.2 Sector-specific input

Climate-related forcing for historical simulations

Table 30: Historical and future forcing datasets for global and regional models.

Dataset description	Time period	Comments
GFDL reanalysis product CORE-forced MOM-SIS-TOPAZ	1959-2004	observation/re-analysis based time-series (1.0° x 1.0° degree) as described in (Stock, Dunne, & John, 2014) => includes observed climate variability

Table 31: Forcing variables provided as input for global and regional marine fisheries models.

Variable (long name)	Variable name	Unit (NetCDF format)	Resolution	Comments
Sea water X velocity	<i>uo</i>	m s ⁻¹	Monthly	surface
Sea water Y velocity	<i>vo</i>	m s ⁻¹	Monthly	surface
Sea water temperature	<i>to</i>	K	Monthly	surface and bottom

Sea ice concentration	<i>sic</i>	%	Monthly	
Dissolved oxygen concentration	<i>o2</i>	mol m ⁻³	Monthly	surface and bottom
Total primary organic carbon production (by all types of phytoplankton)	<i>intpp</i>	mol C m ⁻³ s ⁻¹	Monthly	depth-integrated To be calculated as $intpp = intpp_lphy + intpp_sphy + intpp_diaz$
Small phytoplankton productivity	<i>intpp_sphy</i>	mol C m ⁻³ s ⁻¹	Monthly	depth-integrated
Large phytoplankton productivity	<i>intpp_lphy</i>	mol C m ⁻³ s ⁻¹	Monthly	depth-integrated
pH	<i>ph</i>	1	Monthly	surface and bottom
Salinity	<i>so</i>	psu	Monthly	surface and bottom

12.2.1 Historical fishing effort

For this round, modelers will use their own default fishing effort and catch data. In most cases this will be Sea-Around-Us-Project (SAUP) data (<http://www.searoundus.org/data/#/eez>) obtained through a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or data from Regional Fisheries Management Organizations (RFMOs) or local fisheries agencies. Modelers that do not have access to these data are asked to contact the ISIMIP sectoral coordinators.

12.2.2 Spin-up and initialization

Input data is provided from 1950 to 2004. Years until 1970 can be replicated as needed and used for spin-up. Historical reporting is from 1971-2005, but if your model starts later, start when your model normally starts!

12.3 Output data

- **Provide temporally (monthly) and spatially (1 x 1 degree grid) explicit column-integrated time series (1971-2004)** (All files should be saved with .nc4 file extension; a conversion script for .csv files can be found at: <http://vre1.dkrz.de>).

- Use variable names as specified in Table 32, and check the overall ISIMIP simulation protocol for how to name your files
- If there is no data value for outputs, use the value: 1.e+20f
- Mandatory output:** this is the priority for first round of model comparisons (provide as many as possible!)
- Optional output:** if you can, please store or upload all output you receive from your model, we may eventually use it

Table 32: Common output variables to be provided by global and regional marine fisheries models.

Variable (long name)	Variable name	Unit (NetCDF format)	Resolution	Comments
Mandatory output from global and regional models (provide as many as possible)				
TOTAL system biomass density	tsb	g C m-2	monthly	all primary producers and consumers
TOTAL consumer biomass density	tcb	g C m-2	monthly	all consumers (trophic level >1, vertebrates and invertebrates)
Biomass density of consumers >10cm	b10cm	g C m-2	monthly	if L infinity is >10 cm, include in >10 cm class
Biomass density of consumers >30cm	b30cm	g C m-2	monthly	if L infinity is >30 cm, include in >30 cm class
TOTAL Catch (all commercial functional groups / size classes)	tc	g m-2	monthly	catch at sea (commercial landings plus discards, fish and invertebrates)
TOTAL Landings (all commercial functional groups / size classes)	tla	g m-2	monthly	commercial landings (catch without discards, fish and invertebrates)
Optional output from global and regional models				
Biomass density of commercial species	bcom	g C m-2	monthly	Discarded species not included (Fish and invertebrates)
Biomass density of large consumers >90cm and <100kg	blarge	g C m-2	monthly	

Biomass density of medium consumers >30cm and <90cm	bmed	g C m ⁻²	monthly	
Biomass density of small consumers <30cm	bsmall	g C m ⁻²	monthly	
Biomass density (by functional group / size class)	b-<class>-<group>	g C m ⁻²	monthly	Provide name of each size class (<class>) and functional group (<group>) used, and provide a definition of each class/group
Catch (by functional group / size class)	c-<class>-<group>	g m ⁻²	monthly	Provide name of each size class (<class>) and functional group (<group>) used, and provide a definition of each class/group
Catch of large consumers >90cm and <100kg	clarge	g m ⁻²	monthly	
Catch of medium consumers >30cm and <90cm	cmed	g m ⁻²	monthly	
Catch of small consumers <30cm	csmall	g m ⁻²	monthly	
TOTAL Catch of consumers >10cm	tc10cm	g m ⁻²	monthly	
TOTAL Catch of consumers >30cm	tc30cm	g m ⁻²	monthly	

12.4 Additional information for regional marine ecosystem & fisheries models

12.4.1 Ocean regions

Table 33: Ocean regions

Ocean regions (short name for use in file names)		
North Sea (north-sea)	4°30'W-9°30'E	50°30'N-62°30'N
Baltic Sea (baltic-sea)	15°30'E-23°30'E	55°30'N-64°30'N

North-west Meditteranean (nw-med-sea)	1°30'W-6°30'E	36°30'N-43°30'N
Adriatic Sea (adriatic-sea)	11°30'E-20°30'E	39°30'N-45°30'N
Mediterranean Sea (med-glob)	6°30'W-35°30'E	29°30'N-45°30'N
South-East Australia (se-australia)	120°30'E-170°30'E	47°30'S-23°30'S
Eastern Bass Strait (east-bass-strait)	145°30'E-151°30'E	41°30'S-37°30'S
Cook Strait (cook-strait)	174°30'E-179°30'E	46°30'S-40°30'S
North Humboldt Sea (humboldt-n)	93°30'W-69°30'W	20°30'S-6°30'N

15 References

- Arnell, N. (1999). A simple water balance model for the simulation of streamflow over a large geographic domain. *Journal of Hydrology*, 217(3-4), 314-335.
- Cescatti, A., & Piutti, E. (1998). Silvicultural alternatives, competition regime and sensitivity to climate in a European beech forest. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 102(2), 213-223.
- Choulga, M., Kourzeneva, E., Zakharova, E., & Doganovsky, A. (2014). Estimation of the mean depth of boreal lakes for use in numerical weather prediction and climate modelling. *Tellus A. Dyn. Meteorol. Oceanogr.*, 66(1), 21295.
- Davie, J. C., Falloon, P. D., Kahana, R., Dankers, R., Betts, R., Portmann, F. T., . . . Arnell, N. (2013). Comparing projections of future changes in runoff and water resources from hydrological and ecosystem models in ISI-MIP. *Earth System Dynamics Discussions*, 4(1), 279-315.
- De Lary, R. (October, 2015). *Massif des Landes de Gascogne. II – ETAT DES CONNAISSANCES TECHNIQUES*. Bordeaux: CRPF Aquitaine.
- Dlugokencky, E., & Tans, P. (2019). *Trends in atmospheric carbon dioxide*. Retrieved November 2, 2019, from National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration, Earth System Research Laboratory (NOAA/ESRL): https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/gl_data.html
- Döll, P., & Schmied, H. M. (2012). How is the impact of climate change on river flow regimes related to the impact on mean annual runoff? A global-scale analysis. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(1), 14037.
- Döll, P., Kaspar, F., & Lehner, B. (2003). A global hydrological model for deriving water availability indicators: Model tuning and validation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 270(1-2), 105-134.
- Duncker, P. S., Barreiro, S. M., Hengeveld, G. M., Lind, T., Mason, W. L., Ambrozy, S., & Spiecker, H. (2012). Classification of Forest Management Approaches: A New Conceptual Framework and Its Applicability to European Forestry. *Ecology and Society*, 17(4).
- Elliott, J., Müller, C., Deryng, D., Chryssanthacopoulos, J., Boote, K. J., Büchner, M., . . . Ruane, A. C. (2015). The Global Gridded Crop Model Intercomparison: Data and modeling protocols for Phase 1 (v1.0). *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 8, 261-277.

- Fekete, B. M., Vörösmarty, C. J., & Grabs, W. (2000). Global Composite Runoff Fields on Observed River Discharge and Simulated Water Balances. *GRDC Reports*, 22(115).
- Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., . . . Hill. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature*, 478(7369), 337-342.
- Fürstenau, C., Badeck, F. W., Lasch, P., Lexer, M. J., Lindner, M., Mohr, P., & Suckow, F. (2007). Multiple-use forest management in consideration of climate change and the interests of stakeholder groups. *Eur J Forest Res*, 126, 225-239.
- González, J. R., & Palahí, M. (2005). Optimising the management of *Pinus sylvestris* L. stand under risk of fire in Catalonia (north-east of Spain). *Ann. For. Sci.* 62, 62, 493-501.
- Gosling, S. N., & Arnell, N. W. (2011). Simulating current global river runoff with a global hydrological model: Model revisions, validation, and sensitivity analysis. *Hydrological Processes*, 25(7), 1129–1145.
- Gosling, S. N., Warren, R., Arnell, N. W., Good, P., Caesar, J., Bernie, D., . . . Smith, S. M. (2011). A review of recent developments in climate change science. Part II: The global-scale impacts of climate change. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 35(4), 443–464.
- Gutsch, M., Lasch, P., Suckow, F., & Reyer, C. (2011). Management of mixed oak-pine forests under climate scenario uncertainty. *Forest Systems*, 20(3), 453-463.
- Haddeland, I. C. (2011). Multimodel estimate of the global terrestrial water balance: setup and first results. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 110531121709055.
- Haith, D. A., & Shoemaker., L. L. (1987). Generalized Watershed Loading Functions for stream flow nutrients. *Water Resour. Bull.*, 23, 471-478.
- Håkanson, L. (1995). Models to predict Secchi depth in small glacial lakes. *Aquatic Science*, 57(1), 31–53.
- Hanewinkela, M., & Pretzsch, H. (2000). Modelling the conversion from even-aged to uneven-aged stands of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L. Karst.) with a distance-dependent growth simulator. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 134, 55-70.

- Hein, S., & Dhôte, J.-F. (2006). Effect of species composition, stand density and site index on the basal area increment of oak trees (*Quercus* sp.) in mixed stands with beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in northern France. *Ann. For. Sci.*, *63*, 457-467.
- Hijmans, R., Cameron, S., Parra, J., Jones, P., & Jarvis, A. (2005). Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, *25*, 1965-1978.
- Hurttt, G., Chini, L., Sahajpal, R., Frohking, S., & et al, .. (In prep.). Harmonization of global land-use change and management for the period 850-2100. *Geoscientific Model Development*.
- Kerr, G. (1996). The effect of heavy or 'free growth' thinning on oak (*Quercus petraea* and *Q. robur*). *Forestry: An International Journal of Forest Research*, *69*(4), 303-317.
- Kim, H. (. (n.d.). *Global Soil Wetness Project Phase 3*. Retrieved from Global Soil Wetness Project Phase 3: <http://hydro.iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp/GSWP3/>
- Klein Goldewijk, D. i. (2016). *A historical land use data set for the Holocene; HYDE 3.2 (replaced)*. Utrecht University. DANS.
- Koster, R. D., Fekete, B. M., Huffman, G. J., & Stackhouse, P. W. (2006). Revisiting a hydrological analysis framework with International Satellite Land Surface Climatology Project Initiative 2 rainfall, net radiation, and runoff fields. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *111*(D22), D22S05.
- Kourzeneva, E. (2010). External data for lake parameterization in Numerical Weather Prediction and climate modeling. *Boreal Environ. Res.*, *15*(2), 165-177.
- Lähde, E., Laiho, O., & Lin, J. C. (2010). Silvicultural alternatives in an uneven-sized forest dominated by *Picea abies*. *Journal of Forest Research*, *15*(1), 14-20.
- Lange, S. (2019a). WFDE5 over land merged with ERA5 over the ocean (W5E5). V. 1.0. doi:10.5880/pik.2019.023
- Lange, S. (2019b). Earth2Observe, WFDEI and ERA-Interim data Merged and Bias-corrected for ISIMIP (EWEMBI) v1.1. *GFZ Data Services*. doi:10.5880/pik.2019.004
- Lascha, P., Badecka, F.-W., Suckowa, F., Lindnera, M., & Mohr, P. (2005). Model-based analysis of management alternatives at stand and regional

level in Brandenburg. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 207, 59-74.

Lehner, B., & Döll, P. (2004). Development and validation of a global database of lakes, reservoirs and wetlands. *J. Hydrol.*, 296(1-4), 1-22.

Liu, J., You, L., Amini, M., Obersteiner, M., Herrero, M., Zehnder, A. J., & Yang, H. (2010). A high-resolution assessment on global nitrogen flows in cropland. *National Academy of Sciences*, 107(17), 8035-8040.

Loustau, D., Bosc, A., Colin, A., Ogée, J., Davi, H., Francois, C., . . . Delage, F. (2005). Modeling climate change effects on the potential production of French plains forests at the sub-regional level. *Tree physiology*, 25, 813-23.

Meinshausen, M., Raper, S. C., & Wigley, T. M. (2011). Emulating coupled atmosphere-ocean and carbon cycle models with a simpler model, MAGICC6 – Part 1: Model description and calibration. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11(4), 1417–1456.

Millero, F., & Poisson, A. (1981). International one-atmosphere equation of state of seawater. *Deep-Sea Research*, 28, 625-629.

Monfreda, C., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. (2008). Farming the planet: 2. Geographic distribution of crop areas, yields, physiological types, and net primary production in the year 2000. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 22(GB1022).

Mueller, N., Gerber, J., Johnston, M., Ray, D., Ramankutty, N., & Foley, J. (2012). Closing yield gaps through nutrient and water management. *Nature*, 490, 254-257.

Mund, M. (2004). *Carbon pools of European beech forests (Fagus sylvatica) under different silvicultural management*. Göttingen: Forschungszentrum Waldökosysteme.

Oleson, K. W., Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Lawrence, D. M., Thornton, P. E., Lawrence, P. J., . . . Qian, T. (2008). Improvements to the Community Land Model and their impact on the hydrological cycle. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(G1), G01021.

Pape, R. (1999). Effects of Thinning Regime on the Wood Properties and Stem Quality of *Picea abies*. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 14(1), 38-50.

Portmann, F., Siebert, S., & Döll, P. (2010). MIRCA2000 – global monthly irrigated and rainfed crop areas around the year 2000: a new

high-resolution data set for agricultural and hydrological modeling. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 24(1).

- Potter, P., Ramankutty, N., Bennett, E. M., & Donner, S. D. (2011). Global fertilizer and manure, version 1: nitrogen fertilizer application. NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center.
- Pukkala, T., Miina, J., Kurttila, M., & Kolström, T. (1998). A spatial yield model for optimizing the thinning regime of mixed stands of *Pinus sylvestris* and *Picea abies*. *Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research*, 13(1-4), 31-42.
- Sacks, W. J., Deryng, D., Foley, J. A., & Ramankutty, N. (2010). Crop planting dates: an analysis of global patterns. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 19(5), 607-620.
- Schneiderman, E. M., Pierson, D. C., Lounsbury, D. G., & Zion, M. S. (2002). Modeling the hydrochemistry of the Cannonsville watershed with Generalized Watershed Loading Functions (GWLF). *J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc.*, 38, 1323-1347.
- Schütz, J.-P., Götz, M., Schmid, W., & Mandallaz, D. (2006). Vulnerability of spruce (*Picea abies*) and beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) forest stands to storms and consequences for silviculture. *Eur J Forest Res*, 125, 291-302.
- Shatwell, T., Thiery, W., & Kirillin, G. (2019). Future projections of temperature and mixing regime of European temperate lakes. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 23(3), 1533-1551.
- Sheffield, J., Goteti, G., & Wood, E. F. (2006). Development of a 50-Year High-Resolution Global Dataset of Meteorological Forcings for Land Surface Modeling. *Journal of Climate*, 19(13), 3088-3111.
- Štefančík, I. (2012). Growth characteristics of oak (*Quercus petraea* [Mattusch.] Liebl.) stand under different thinning regimes. *Journal of Forest Science*, 58(2), 67-78.
- Sterba, H. (1987). Estimating Potential Density from Thinning Experiments and Inventory Data. *Forest Science*, 33(4), 1022-1034.
- Stock, C. A., Dunne, J. P., & John, J. G. (2014). Global-scale carbon and energy flows through the marine planktonic food web: An analysis with a coupled physical-biological model. *Progress in Oceanography*, 120, 1-28.

- Subin, Z. M., Riley, W. J., & Mironov, D. (2012). An improved lake model for climate simulations: Model structure, evaluation, and sensitivity analyses in CESM1. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 4(1), M02001.
- Thivolle-Cazat, A. (2013). *Disponibilité en bois en Aquitaine de 2012 à 2025*. Bordeaux: FCBA, IGN, INRA, CRPF Aquitaine.
- Tian, H., Yang, J., Lu, C., Xu, R., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R., . . . Wini. (2018). The global N2O Model Intercomparison Project (NMIP): Objectives, Simulation Protocol and Expected Products. *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*
- Weedon, G. P., Balsamo, G., Bellouin, N., Gomes, S., Best, M. J., & Viterbo, P. (2014). The WFDEI meteorological forcing data set: WATCH Forcing Data methodology applied to ERA-Interim reanalysis data. *Water Resources Research*, 50, 7505–7514.
- Weedon, G. P., Gomes, S., Viterbo, P., Shuttleworth, W. J., Blyth, E., Österle, H., . . . Best, M. (2011). Creation of the WATCH Forcing Data and Its Use to Assess Global and Regional Reference Crop Evaporation over Land during the Twentieth Century. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 12(5), 823–848.
- Wu, B., Yu, B., Yue, W., Shu, S., Tan, W., Hu, C., . . . Liu, H. (2013). A Voxel-Based Method for Automated Identification and Morphological Parameters Estimation of Individual Street Trees from Mobile Laser Scanning Data. *Remote Sensing*, 5(2), 584–611.
- Yoshimura, K., & Kanamitsu, M. (2008). Dynamical Global Downscaling of Global Reanalysis. *Monthly Weather Review*, 136(8), 2983–2998.
- Yoshimura, K., & Kanamitsu, M. (2013). Incremental Correction for the Dynamical Downscaling of Ensemble Mean Atmospheric Fields. *Monthly Weather Review*, 141(9), 3087–3101.