Cross-sectoral ISIMIP and PROCLIAS Workshop 2022

An evaluation of global hydrological models using functional relationships

Sebastian Gnann, Robert Reinecke, Lina Stein, Thorsten Wagener University of Potsdam



Unterstützt von / Supported by



Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung/Foundation

Analysis of Hydrologic Systems Research Group

Global models differ and this difference varies spatially





So how should we evaluate global models?

- Data are imperfect: data are scarce, uncertain, spatially biased, and often observed at different scales
- Some of these problems can be alleviated by

 (1) Comparing models to each other to learn from their differences
 (2) Using functional relationships



Unterstützt von / Supported by



What do we mean by functional relationships?

• Budyko (1974) postulated that runoff is a function of the available water and energy





Unterstützt von / Supported by

We will use functional relationships to explore how different models represent the water balance

- We use 8 global models from ISIMIP 2b
- We compare multiple input variables with multiple output variables, averged over 30 years (today: **recharge**)
- We also split the world into water-limited and energy-limited places



nterstützt von / Supported

Alexander von Humboldt

Stiftung/Foundation



Scatter plots show how strongly recharge is controlled by precipitation





Unterstützt von / Supported by

Rank correlations quantify how strongly recharge is controlled by precipitation





Unterstützt von / Supported by

Rank correlations show how strongly recharge is controlled by precipitation





Unterstützt von / Supported by

Rank correlations differ between different models, indicating different functional relationships



The functional relationships between net radiation and recharge show even more variability



Functional relationships show that models translate forcing differently into recharge

- Differences suggest that we should use more than one model to get more robust results
- We cannot only rely on past observations to evaluate our models, so we have to think of alternative ways of model evaluation



Unterstützt von / Supported by



References

- Budyko, M. I. (1974). Climate and life. Academic Press, New York. *Climate and life. Academic Press, New York.*
- Gleeson, T., Wagener, T., Döll, P., Zipper, S. C., West, C., Wada, Y., ... & Bierkens, M. F. (2021). GMD perspective: The quest to improve the evaluation of groundwater representation in continental-to global-scale models. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 14(12), 7545-7571.
- MacDonald, A. M., Lark, R. M., Taylor, R. G., Abiye, T., Fallas, H. C., Favreau, G., ... & West, C. (2021). Mapping groundwater recharge in Africa from ground observations and implications for water security. *Environmental Research Letters*, *16*(3), 034012.

