

Challenges and opportunities of an integrated perspective on impacts, adaptation and mitigation

Keywan Riahi Energy, Climate & Environment Program International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA)

Thanks to Marina Andrijevic, Edwards Byers, Shinichiro Fujimori, Jarmo Kikstra, Adriano Vinca

Acknowledged support





Towards achieving a better integration of adaptation, migration and impacts

- Improved understanding of the risks of climate impacts (thanks to ISIMIP et al!)
- Increasing recognition that impacts, adaptation and vulnerabilities need to be reflected in mitigation scenarios

However:

- Impacts are often calculated for different sectors and for specific temperature thresholds with limited consideration of socioeconomic dynamics (and change)
- Mitigation pathways rarely consider impacts or adaptation (eg, SSPs)
- Current tools make it difficult to portray a consistent picture of how mitigation and adaptation interact
- Need to find a bridge to close the gap between the communities



05-06-2023

For the first time the IPCC AR6 concludes that avoided impacts of 2C are paying off economically

Global aggregate economic impact estimates by global warming level



(a) Statistical modeling

OKahn et al. (2019)
 OKalkuhl & Wenz (2020)
 OBurke et al. (2018) - SR
 OPretis et al. (2018)
 OMaddison & Rehdanz (2011)
 Burke et al. (2015)

(c) Meta analyses

▲ Nordhaus & Moffat (2017)/Nordhaus (2016) ▲ Tol (2018) — Howard & Sterner (2017)

(b) Structural modeling

Takakura et al. (2019)
Dellink, Lanzi & Chateau (2019)
Kompas et al (2018)
Roson & van der Mensbrugghe (2012)
Bosello et al. (2012)
Rose et al. (2017)
Rose et al. (2017) - FUND 5th & 95th
---Rose et al. (2017) - PAGE 5th & 95th

(d) AR5 various methods

• AR5

WGIII SPM: (based on WGII-WGIII cross-cut)

Models that incorporate the economic damages from climate change find that the global cost of limiting warming to 2°C over the 21st century is lower than the global economic benefits of reducing warming, unless: (i) climate damages are towards the low end of the range; or, (ii) future damages are discounted at high rates (medium confidence).

Comprehensive assessments of climate risks along all three sides of the propeller needed





New innovations:

- Hazards (e.g., new emulators),
- Exposure (e.g., granular socioeconomic data, machine learning, satellite imagery),
- Vulnerability (e.g., scenarioresolved adaptive capacity)

Global Hotspots Assessment

53

ISWEL Project Byers et al, 2018







Global analysis of multi-sector hotspots

variability





• 3 socioeconomic scenarios – SSPs 1, 2 & 3

3 climate change
 scenarios – 1.5, 2.0 and
 3.0° C

💧 Water	Energy	ᠹ Land	\$ Socioeconomics
🔩 Water stress index	👲 Clean cooking access	🎋 Crop yield change	Population density
Non-renewable GW abstraction	🄅 Heat event exposure	Environmental flow exploitation	Sincome levels
🛐 Drought intensity	Cooling demand growth	💒 Habitat degradation	
😤 Peak flows risk	Hydroclimate risk to power	🗽 Nitrogen leaching	
M Seasonality	-		
t., Inter-annual			

Byers et al. (2018, ERL)

People at risk of multisectoral hotspots



But GMT & SSP scenario uncertainties are considerable... and vary from place to place

Byers et al. (2018, Environmental Research Letters)

Low risk

Exposed

Exposed & Vulnerable

Typical IAM framework



IASA

Example outcome: monetized impact of different sector



Agricultural productivity
Undernourishment
Heat-related excess mortality
Cooling/heating demand
Occupational-health cost
Hydropower generation
Thermalpower generation
Fluvial flooding
Coastal innundation

CAVEATS:

- Monetization implies value judgements, hence need to presented together with social and physical impacts
- Some impacts are incomparable because of different
- methodologies,
- small economic impact does not necessarily mean it is not important.

AIM model: Fujimori, Hasegawa et ål

Multiple sectors and multiple policy objectives (Vinca et al, forthcoming)

Climate policy



SDG measures



Food	Heathy (EAT-Lancet) diet, reduce food waste		
Water	Efficiency improvements, environmental flow		
	constraints, piped water access, wastewater		
	treatment		
Energy	Maximized electrification, RE, energy access		

Based on: Doelman et al. 2022, MESSAGE-ACCESS, Van Vuuren et al., 2019,

Parkinson et al., 2019, Frank et al., 2021, Hasegawa et al., 2015, Pastor et al., 2019

Life on land Protected natural land (>30%)

Climate impacts RCP 2.6, 6.0



• Hydrology: Precipitation pattern/runoff, groundwater intensity

- Crop Yield changes
- Renewable energy
- Cooling/heating demand
- Desalination potential
- Power plant cooling capacity

<u>Based on:</u> ISIMIP 2b (Frieler et al. 2017),Byers et al., 2018, Gernaat et al., 2021 etc.)

2.6 W/m² target

VIGATE

Mitigation investments substantially affected under climate change impacts



Global average mitigation investments increase by > 44%



Regionally diverse insights: In some regions and sectors investments increase, in others they can also decline



Multiple adaptation options (desalination, water recycling, irrigation, power plant cooling, demand-response...) – but **adaptation capacity** not considered in most analysis

Main domains: Energy/Water/Land

Vinca et al, forthcoming

Many challenges to represent impacts in global IAMs



- Efforts represent initial steps towards integration of biophysical and economic impacts
- Better representation of extremes and temporal and spatial granularity
- Improved understanding of hydrological uncertainties and responses needed
- Translation to macroeconomic impacts (distributional issues)
- Impact trajectories constraint by RCP-SSP combinations
- Better representation of adaptation

irpi/Businessillustrator.com

Adaptation



07/05/2023

- More than half of SSP-based publications come from the impacts, adaptation and vulnerability (IAV) research, but only about 3% focus on adaptation (based on Green et al., 2022)
- Critical need to represent adaptation better in impact models and IAMs (van Maanen et al., 2023)
- Only when we include adaptation explicitly, we will be able to robustly identify climate risk & residual impacts
- Integration of adaptation required for identifying mitigation options that improve climate resilience (adaptation synergies & trade-offs)
- A promising approach to bring adaptation to many assessments, particularly the SSPs, are dynamic adaptive capacity pathways (Andrijevic et al., forthcoming)

Improving adaptation in IAMs (and impacts models)



Some adaptation already exists in Impact Models and IAMs, e.g., irrigation, desalination, dry cooling, water storage, AC

Adaptation options, if represented, are often binary

Capacities vary by sector, climate impact, country, through time

e.g. adaptation to heat-stress varies by climate, location, income urbanization, inequality,....,

07/05/2023

Costs, speed and efficacy of adaptation also vary



Andrijevic et al., forthcoming, Nature Climate Change

Representing adaptation capacity in global climate change assessments



The <u>Adaptation Gap</u>, and the <u>Capacity to</u> <u>Adapt</u>, are complex functions of biophysical and socioeconomic conditions

Need to understand the limits to adaptation – irreducible, residual risk

Framework for representing adaptation capacities in IAMs (constrain adaptation at the country-level to be more realistic)

Improved representation of Mitigation-Adaptation synergies & tradeoffs

Consistent use of SSP-bound adaptation assumptions could facilitate adaptation intercomparison, in Impact Models & IAMs



Andrijevic et al., forthcoming, Nature Climate Change Andrijevic et al., 2021, ERL



Keywan Riahi - Challenges and opportunities of an integrated perspective on impacts, adaptation and mitigation



Using impacts emulators

- The community is constrained to explore climate impacts based on SSP-RCP trajectories/combinations
- 90% of IAM scenarios in AR6 were SSP2
- ISIMIP3 SSP1-26, SSP3-70, SSP5-85.... SSP2?
- Climate impacts emulation can help with
 - Covering the scenario space and filling key policy relevant gaps
 - Integration of impacts into IAMs (sectoral emulators biophysical or economic damages, adaptation capacity, etc...)
 - Connected to specific mitigation pathways, emulators can help with ex-post and fasttrack assessment of avoided impacts
- Great that impact emulator modelling is listed as an activity of the ISIMIP cross-sectoral science team

CHILLED model emulation with regional response functions



CHILLED is a gridded space cooling/heating demand model (Mastrucci et al. 2018), – inputs to MESSAGEix

Computationally expensive, constrained by SSP-RCP

4% increase in total electricity demand, just from climate impacts on AC, in the ~3 °C scenario



SPARCCLE Modelling chain





AR6 Climate "pipeline" (WGI – WGIII handshake)



- ✓ Building a bridge between communities
- \checkmark Assess 1000s of scenarios
- \checkmark Community standards and methods
- \checkmark Transparent and open framework
- \checkmark Adaptable and reproducible
- Community "endorsement" and vetting

Open-source framework to provide a community resource





Similar linkages possible between WGII-III

- Huge utility: improved and consistent representation of both mitigation costs and benefits (avoided impacts)
- Can build on existing emulators (damages, vulnerability, exposure)
- Need broader community vetting process (setting standards organized, eg, by ISIMIP?)
- WGII AR7 priorities to work towards better integration?
- Who is the group that could move this forward (ISIMIP+IAMC)?

Extensions to provide tailor-made information to different user groups





Thank you! riahi@iiasa.ac.at